ARES TECH™

Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia
0415 243 531
anthony@arestech.com.au
arestech.com.au

EntroMechanical Standard Interface (EMSI)

Version 1.0 – Reference Interface

Authoritative Source

This interface is derived from The EntroMechanical Doctrine, Version 1.0 (Canonical) by Anthony Johnson. It does not supersede the Doctrine. It operationalises it.

---

1. Purpose of the Standard Interface

The EntroMechanical Standard Interface defines how external parties interact with EntroMechanical systems in a controlled, measurable, and non-interpretive manner.

Its purpose is to enable engineering collaboration, standardise validation and measurement, prevent architectural drift, and preserve doctrinal sovereignty.

The EMSI provides entry points, not authorship.

---

2. Scope of Application

This interface applies to all systems claiming EntroMechanical behaviour, including but not limited to wearable-scale EntroSkin systems, structural-scale EntroConstruction systems, EntroScrew and foundation systems, and future EntroClass systems at infrastructure or orbital scale.

Any system claiming compliance must demonstrate consistency with this interface.

---

3. Non-Negotiable Constraints

The following constraints apply to all EMSI-compliant work.

The system must be passive. The system must respond to naturally occurring gradients. The system must not require stored energy, command input, or active control to function. The system must exhibit measurable output under controlled excitation. The system must return output structurally and not dissipate it arbitrarily.

Violation of any constraint disqualifies the system from EntroMechanical classification.

Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia
0415 243 531
anthony@arestech.com.au
arestech.com.au

4. Standard System Boundary Definition

For the purpose of interface compliance every EntroMechanical system is defined by three boundary regions.

4.1 Input Boundary

The input boundary is the region where ambient gradients enter the system. Examples include mechanical strain, thermal gradient, chemical potential difference, and pressure displacement.

Inputs are not supplied. They are intercepted.

4.2 Conditioning Boundary

The conditioning boundary is the internal region where intercepted inputs are distributed, damped, aligned, or temporally smoothed.

No amplification occurs in this region. Only structural conditioning is permitted.

4.3 Output Boundary

The output boundary is the region where conditioned energy re-enters the originating system, the host structure, or the coupled environment.

Outputs must remain within passive limits imposed by the boundary itself.

5. Standard Interface Variables

All EMSI-compliant systems must be describable using the following variable classes.

5.1 Pressure Variables

Mechanical pressure or strain, denoted **P_m**.

Thermal gradient, denoted **P_t**.

Chemical or ionic potential, denoted **P_c**.

Volumetric or displacement pressure, denoted **P_v**.

5.2 Structural Variables

Containment geometry, denoted **C.**

Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia
0415 243 531
anthony@arestech.com.au
arestech.com.au

Resistance arising from containment, denoted **R.**

Pattern factor, including geometry, distribution, and orientation, denoted **Φ.**

5.3 Temporal Variables

Excitation frequency, denoted **f**.

Exposure duration, denoted **t**.

Response latency, denoted **Δt**.

These variables do not impose a model. They impose observability.

---

6. Acceptable Measurement Interfaces

Measurement must occur at defined interfaces. Internal speculative states are not required.

Acceptable interfaces include voltage or current at a defined node, force or displacement response, thermal differential, strain-induced electrical output, and pressure–flow coupling.

Measurement must be repeatable, bounded, above zero, and attributable to excitation.

---

7. Validation Protocol

All EMSI systems follow the same validation loop.

An excitation source is defined. Containment and boundary conditions are defined. Controlled excitation is applied. Output is measured at the defined interface. Measurements are repeated across cycles. Noise, drift, and failure modes are recorded. Structure is refined if required. The system is re-tested.

Validation success is defined as repeatable, measurable output above zero under controlled excitation.

---

8. Prohibited Practices

The following practices are incompatible with the EMSI.

Hidden active components, stored energy masquerading as passive response, undeclared amplification, software-mediated control of output, and claims exceeding measured behaviour are prohibited.

Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia
0415 243 531
anthony@arestech.com.au
arestech.com.au

These practices are disqualifying and not debatable.

---

9. Compliance Declaration

A system may declare:

> “Designed in accordance with the EntroMechanical Standard Interface (EMSI).”

This declaration does not imply endorsement, does not transfer intellectual property, and does not confer ownership of the Doctrine.

It indicates interface compatibility only.

---

10. Relationship to the Doctrine

The EMSI does not reinterpret the Doctrine. It does not summarise it. It does not compete with it.

The relationship is strict. The Doctrine defines what is true. The EMSI defines how others may interact.

Where conflict appears, the Doctrine prevails.

---

11. Update and Governance

The EMSI may evolve to include additional measurement interfaces, refined variable definitions, and expanded validation practices.

Such updates do not alter the Doctrine and do not require its revision.

---

12. Closing Statement

The EntroMechanical Standard Interface exists to allow collaboration without dilution.

It allows engineers to measure. Manufacturers to build. Researchers to validate. Institutions to adopt.

It does so without requiring belief, without surrendering control, and without touching the core. Pressure comes in. Response goes out. Everything else is structure.