



On Drift, Delay, and System Collapse

An essay on how human systems actually fail

By Anthony Johnson

Most people already understand, at least intellectually, that small things can become big problems. They see it in buildings, where a hairline crack widens over seasons. In machines, where vibration loosens what was once tight. In organisations, where minor exceptions quietly replace standards. And in themselves, where small compromises accumulate until something gives.

What is strange is not that this happens.

What is strange is how often we behave as if it will not.

This essay does not offer solutions. It does not prescribe behaviour or claim authority. It simply describes how human systems behave over time, using the same logic that governs physical systems, and leaves the reader to decide what that description means for them.

The failure mode nobody fears

In engineering, most catastrophic failures do not begin with overload. They begin with tolerance:

A bolt is slightly under-torqued, but within acceptable limits.

A component shows early wear, but remains serviceable.

A vibration is detected, but judged non-critical.

A deviation exists, but does not yet threaten function.

Nothing fails. The system operates. Performance appears normal.

That apparent stability is what makes the failure mode dangerous.

Small deviations feel safe because they are survivable. They do not trigger alarms. They do not demand immediate response. They allow the system to continue, and continuation is often mistaken for health.

Human systems behave the same way.

A small lie smooths a conversation.

A small delay avoids an awkward decision.



ARES TECH™

📍 Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia

☎ 0415 243 531

✉ anthony@arestech.com.au

🌐 arestech.com.au

A small omission saves time.

A small gap between what is said and what is done feels manageable.

In the moment, these choices often work. If they did not, we would stop making them.

The system continues. The day goes on. Nothing collapses.

Drift is not failure. It is permission.

Engineers have a name for what happens next.

They call it drift.

Drift occurs when deviations are repeatedly tolerated because they do not cause immediate harm. What was once an exception becomes normal. What becomes normal stops being noticed. What stops being noticed stops being corrected.

Drift is quiet. It sounds reasonable. It often sounds like experience.

“This is temporary.”

“We’ll fix it later.”

“This isn’t worth escalating.”

“It’s been fine so far.”

Each statement is defensible in isolation. Together, they create distance between design intent and actual operation.

No system collapses at the moment of its first deviation. Systems collapse when deviation persists long enough that correction becomes costly, uncomfortable, or politically dangerous.

At that point, the problem is no longer technical. It is structural.

This is not about being good

It is tempting to read this as a moral argument. It is not.

This is not about virtue, honesty, or purity. It is not about never making mistakes. Every system contains error. Every human does.

The issue is not error.



ARES TECH™

📍 Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia

☎ 0415 243 531

✉ anthony@arestech.com.au

🌐 arestech.com.au

The issue is delay.

Systems that detect and correct early tend to fail in small, recoverable ways. Systems that postpone correction tend to fail in large, disruptive ones. Systems that avoid correction altogether eventually lose the ability to correct at all.

Honesty is not noble in this context. It is economical. Dishonesty is not evil. It is expensive. The cost is simply deferred.

Pressure does not disappear

In physical systems, pressure that cannot be released accumulates until it finds a failure path.

In human systems, the mechanism is identical.

Unaddressed issues create pressure.

Unspoken concerns create pressure.

Sustained distortions of reality create pressure.

If pressure is released early, it dissipates.

If it is contained, it builds.

If it is denied, it finds its own release.

This release is rarely neat.

It appears as accidents, resignations, financial shocks, reputational collapse, burnout, or sudden system failure that seems inexplicable to those who have forgotten the early signals.

Investigations almost always reveal the same thing:

The warning signs were present.

They were noticed.

They were understood.

They were not acted on while action was still inexpensive.



Patterns, not anecdotes

The details vary. The pattern does not.

Spaceflight failures involved known technical concerns that were gradually reframed as acceptable risk.

Aviation incidents involved systems whose growing complexity was concealed to preserve confidence.

Financial crises involved risks that were privately understood and publicly minimised.

Organisational collapses involved cultures where raising concerns became harder than staying quiet.

In each case, the system did not lack intelligence:

It lacked timely correction.

Individuals follow the same trajectory. Early signs of exhaustion, stress, or imbalance are noticed, rationalised, and postponed. Eventually the body or mind enforces a stop that is far more disruptive than the early adjustment would have been.

The enforcement always arrives. The timing is the only variable.

Silence, uncertainty, and distortion

Precision matters here.

Not all withholding of information is dishonest. There are moments when sharing incomplete or sensitive information would cause harm. Declaring uncertainty or setting boundaries can preserve trust.

The difference is simple:

Saying “we do not know yet” preserves alignment.

Saying “there is no problem”, when one exists, introduces distortion.

Systems can tolerate uncertainty.

They cannot tolerate sustained misrepresentation of reality.

Distortion compounds. Reality does not negotiate.



ARES TECH™

📍 Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia

☎️ 0415 243 531

✉️ anthony@arestech.com.au

🌐 arestech.com.au

Where consequence lives

There is a useful distinction that appears again and again across cultures and disciplines:

Thought exists without consequence.

Action does not.

The moment an idea becomes action, it enters a domain governed by cause and effect. Outcomes respond only to what was actually done, not to intent, justification, or belief.

Responsibility does not live in thought or intention. It lives in outcome. This is mechanics.

The rule that keeps returning

Across engineering, organisations, finance, health, and personal life, the same rule appears with uncomfortable consistency:

Small problems are the only problems we can reliably control.

Once problems grow large, control shifts elsewhere. Options narrow. Costs rise. Consequences multiply.

Which leads to an observation so simple it is often dismissed:

Do not let small things create big problems.

This is not advice.

It is a description of system behaviour.

What remains

This essay does not provide answers because answers cannot be outsourced.


Only the reader can examine their own systems, their own work, their own habits, and notice where small deviations are being tolerated.


Only the reader can decide whether to act early or later.


Later is always available.




ARES TECH™

 Scenic Rim QLD 4285, Australia

 0415 243 531

 anthony@arestech.com.au

 arestech.com.au

Early is not.

The choice is not between being right or wrong. It is between paying a small cost now or a much larger one later.

Every system makes that choice, consciously or not.

This essay simply makes the choice visible.